

to Pat at 11:30 PM 12-12-15

I see the prob. of one winter, in next 50 yrs
20 yrs
100 yrs

as comparable to the prob. of
WWI of 1914-17 in 1900/1905/1910
of someone who saw it as
possible

(one bullet!)

not inevitable, in the: but prob.

or "very uncertain"

or, WWII, as of 1930
or 1933 (Squid)

not certain

Could have been avoided

Not likely to be avoided.

→ Now - next 10 years - very dangerous

seeds
of new seed has been planted in

(airplane? ground/boots delay)

(WWI, early?) &

Doubt, Mitchell (vs. WWI mid. countries in West)

Harris, Trenchard (vs. Japan = prob) (not, East?)

(not, Hornell: dominant RAF (or RAE!) Marshall UK, US avians
Stalin!

(not Centralists)

* In a big way?

→ Wf not Japan? (vs. China?)

Then SU/Andrew thought
US attack was possible
SURPRISE
(= RAND)

RYZEN was — an example of "strat. warning indicator"
if US had known (who did OG about it? ~81?
and saw it as prep for Annihilation ^{strat}
Crisis - Cebony

X see Kelly's explanation of strat warning, + plan to prevent

AA and not lead to Annihilation: Why?

SU believed in (US) "sufficiency" (as possible, + US was)
meaning what? to what effect?

SDI = CF

12-13-15

1:45 AM

- Difference between

"impossible" / marginally low prob

and "with thought of"

not imagined

not considerable hypothesis / possible

(later can enter calculation

or reference

Ex:

1) occurring

2) dream

3) stream of consciousness

4) big suggested

5) analogy, metaphor

6) picture, myth

7) discussion

8) examination (no one thought of revelation
to begin)

9) "explanation"

"Possible" includes "0-x%" analogous, range of
reasonable prob

eg, "10% — x%
or 5% — x% "very possible"

0-.1% — ~~1%~~ "possible but very improbable"

or .1% — .5%

< .001% (statistical)

0 — "impossible"

[not necessarily "proved"
(with model)]

(yet I know: "impossible things happen": model wrong, now

12-13-15
2 AM

Can we "improve" the Neogacial?
bubini?
"improved"
on the basis of "failure"?
not by Bayes' theorem?

(Were 2.0. impossible?)

Maybe to Betty! (he says) "I showed it"
Not to Femi, before Trinity (or 001%!)
or to Jellen (analysis)
or to Compton, etc.!
or to Ciment —
during Trinity!

"not just
...?"

If Teller-Ulam had been shown before Nov. 49
— would Femi/Rohi/GAC have
opposed crash? or as "evil"?

If hydro had been known to be feasible
— would they (GAC)
have opposed?

(Expressing tutor — vs. Po for two runs?)

If opposed even if it was feasible
(Cost? Size/weight? only large?
or just: competition with two runs?
(Oppose? not Femi-Rohi/evil
And if we Teller-Ulam as evil; should not
opiate? should be mutually avoided? (Betty, yes)

War: DMs, SIOPs

12-12-15

The White has been "very possible"

in ~~the~~ incidents throughout CW & since
or "possible" incidents ^{or another}
even though with ~~some~~ intended surprise ^{appearance?} ~~preemptive~~ war
(as look suspected of the other)

even when

- SU had no FS (or 2nd Strike) Cap

vs. US

esc C-II 62

[no invasion of Europe, or
elsewhere: but SU ^{was} ~~the~~ response
to a US attack **

not? Quincy

esc Berlin 61 (tank)

[Not VN
(Iraq)
(in US eyes)]

- SU did have both, but J as crisis

AA 1983 (CW)

esc

- Poland* 1980 (Center)

- at "end" of CW Aug. 91

after

- other, 1991-92 (civil war para.)

- after CW, no (US) crisis 1995

sc

* or earlier warning: if Gung joined, & Henry in US

USCP

"backed into Henry"

intervened

Hungary, Czech

** unknown still to US Public (most of USG)

or what
Henry had?

(What if Center had SU too much of MRBMs

(security!) before G-I:

or Berlin? (REF 62:)

Another Ab/4/VK invasion!

// OR if NUN had was

(address, intentions?

when Breghen 1965: WHY NOT? de Sots?)

